



First report of the genus Rhyncholagena Lang, 1944 from the South China Sea, with the description of a new species (Crustacea, Copepoda, Harpacticoida, Miraciidae)

Lin Ma¹, Xin-zheng Li^{1,2,3}

I Laboratory of Marine Organism Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China 2 Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, 266071, China 3 Graduate University, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

Corresponding author: Xin-zheng Li (lixzh@qdio.ac.cn)

Academic editor: Danielle Defaye | Received 8 February 2018 | Accepted 17 September 2018 | Published 11 December 2018

http://zoobank.org/EBAB9321-8D0F-42FD-B6B6-5E93E3034011

Citation: Ma L, Li X-Z (2018) First report of the genus *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1944 from the South China Sea, with the description of a new species (Crustacea, Copepoda, Harpacticoida, Miraciidae). ZooKeys 805: 15–31. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.805.24331

Abstract

During analysis of sediment samples from South China Sea, a new species belonging to the genus *Rhyn-cholagena* Lang, 1944 was found and described here. *Rhyncholagena paraspinifer* **sp. n.** differs from its congeners by the following combined characteristics: body ornamented dorsally with at least one row of spinules on each somite except penultimate urosomite; A2 exopod two-segmented; P1 enp-2 with one inner seta; P3 exp-3 with two inner setae, P3 enp-2 with one inner seta; female P5 exopod with five setae; male P5 baseoendopod with two setae and exopod with four setae. This is the first report of the genus *Rhyncholagena* in the China seas. In addition, a key to all valid species of *Rhyncholagena* is given, along with tables of morphological characters of all valid species and their distributions.

Keywords

Benthic copepods, Crustacea, new species, taxonomy

Introduction

The harpacticoid genus *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1944 belongs to the large family Miraciidae Dana, 1846, comprising nine species and subspecies (Walter et al. 2015), plus the new species described here. The genus *Rhyncholagena* was erected to accomodate three species previously assigned to *Amphiascus* Sars G.O., 1905. The genus *Rhyncholagena* was distinguished from *Amphiascus* by subtle morphological characteristic such as rostrum flask shape (Lang 1948). Por (1967) thought that the main characters of the genus were the incision between the apical setae of the P5 exopod and the bottle-like or elongated rostrum.

Species of the genus *Rhyncholagena* are benthic forms that inhabit different marine environments: gravels (Por 1964), mud (Present contribution), sand (Sars 1911), mangrove (Malt 1990), and coral reefs (Sarmento and Santos 2012). Members of this genus range from intertidal to subtidal areas of continental shelves (Lang 1948; Por 1964, 1967; Malt 1990). Species of *Rhyncholagena* were reported from different regions of world: *R. lagenirostris* (Sars G.O., 1911) was from Norway; *R. spinifer* (Farran, 1913) from Ireland and France; *R. pestai pestai* (Monard, 1935) from France, Algeria and North Carolina of the USA; *R. pestai americana* Rouch, 1962 from Argentina; *R. levantina* Por, 1964 from coasts of Israel and France in Mediterranean; *R. josaphatis* Por, 1967 from the Red Sea and Suez Canal; *R. littoralis* Por, 1967 from the Red Sea, Suez Canal and Brazil; *R. profundorum* Por, 1967 from the Red Sea; and *R. bermudensis* Malt, 1990 from Bermuda.

The South China Sea is a semi-enclosed marginal sea of the tropical Indo-Pacific region. The knowledge about the composition and distribution of benthic harpacticoids are considered as insufficient (Chertoprud et al. 2011). During a survey of the local macrobenthos along the coasts of Hainan Island in the South China Sea, we took some sediment samples from subtidal of east Hainan Island. Harpacticoid copepods were sorted from these samples. A new species of *Rhyncholagena* was found and is described here. This is the first report of the genus from the South China Sea. Finally, data about the depth and sample locality of all valid species were collected to discuss the distribution of the genus *Rhyncholagena* and a worldwide identification key to species is provided.

Materials and methods

Sediment samples were collected from the South China Sea, fixed in 10% formalin. Sediment samples were washed through a 38 μ m sieve with tap water. The harpacticoid specimens were extracted from remaining sediment samples by centrifugation with the colloidal silica Ludox TM-50 suspension as flotation medium. Specimens were preserved in 75% alcohol. For their identification, the specimens were cleared in lactic acid and observed with a light microscope. Before dissection, the habitus was drawn and the whole body length was measured temporarily mounted in lactophenol. Speci-

mens were dissected in lactic acid and mounted on slides in lactophenol, subsequently sealed with nail-polish. The observations and drawings were made with a differential interference contrast microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ni), equipped with a drawing tube. The illustration of habitus were drawn at 400× magnification, the others were drawn at 1000× magnification, with oil immersion lens.

The terminology used is after Huys et al. (1996). Abbreviations used in the text and figures are:

A2	antenna;	enp	endopod;
aes	aesthetasc;	enp-1 (-2-3)	the first (second, third)
exp	exopod;		segment of the endopod;
exp-1 (-2-3)	the first (second, third)	P1-P6	swimming legs 1–6.
	segment of the exopod;		

Body length was measured from the anterior margin of the rostrum to the posterior margin of the caudal rami. The type material is deposited in the Marine Biological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China (MBMCAS).

Results

Order Harpacticoida Sars, 1903 Family Miraciidae Dana, 1846 Subfamily Diosaccinae Sars, 1906 Genus *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1944

Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. http://zoobank.org/E4E9C696-1020-47DF-960B-E6ADE07C2C6B Figs 1–8

Type locality. South China Sea, sampling locality (18°35.81'N, 110°43.44'E), 30.1 m depth, soft mud, collected by JB Wang, LM Shuai, J Zhou, QX Han and L Ma, 19 October 2007.

Description. Female (based on holotype and one paratype).

Habitus (Figs 1A, 2A, B). Total length of holotype female (body plus caudal rami, excluding caudal setae): 710μm. Body long and cylindrical, widest at head, tapering posteriorly. Prosome four-segmented: cephalothorax (including two thoracic somites bearing maxilliped and P1) and three articulated somites bearing P2 to P4; all prosomites with row of spinules on posterior margins, respectively. Urosome five-




Figure 1. *Rhyncholagena paraspinifer* sp. n. **A** Paratype (female, MBM189079) habitus, dorsal **B** Paratype (male, MBM189080) habitus, dorsal.

segmented, comprising P5-bearing somite, genital double-somite, and three abdominal somites. Urosomites with rows of hyaline frills on dorsal edge respectively, excluding penultimate urosomite; urosomites ornamented with hyaline frills on ventral side. Genital field (Figure 2B) located rather proximally, genital apertures situated ventrally, covered by reduced P6 on both sides. Anal somite slightly cleft in posterior, unornamented, 0.4 times as long as wide; anal operculum narrow, unornamented. Caudal ramus almost as long as broad, carrying six setae: two outer setae, smooth; two medial setae, well developed; two inner setae, slender.

Rostrum (Figs. 2A, 4A) demarcated from cephalothorax, elongated, almost triangular with pair of sensillae on each side of rostrum approx. 1/3 from acute tip.

Labrum (Figure 2C) somewhat hexagonal, with toothed fringe at tip.




Figure 2. Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. Holotype **A** habitus, dorsal **B** urosome, ventral **C** labrum. Scale bars: $100\mu m$ (**A, B**); $50\mu m$ (**C**).




Figure 3. Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. Holotype $\bf A$ antennule $\bf B$ antenna; paratype (female, MBM189079) $\bf C$ mandible. Scale bar: 50 μm .

Antennule (Figure 3A) with eight segments; first segment and second segment the longest; aesthetasc on fourth segment reaching beyond distal end of terminal segment. Armature formula: 1-[1], 2-[7], 3-[7], 4-[3+aes], 5-[1], 6-[1], 7-[3], 8-[5].

Antenna (Figure 3B) biramous, small coxa without ornamentation. Allobasis elongated, about three times as long as coxa, with spinules on lateral margin. Exopod two-segmented, with 1:1.2 setae; exp-1 long, almost two times as long as exp-2. Endopod one-segmented, with row of spines on inner and out edge, respectively; lateral armature consisting of three smooth setae; apical armature consisting of six elements: four geniculate setae, two slender and smooth setae.




Figure 4. *Rhyncholagena paraspinifer* sp. n. Holotype **A** rostrum **B** maxillule **C** maxilla **D** maxilliped. Scale bar: 50 μm.

Mandible (Figure 3C) gnathobase with eight large, smooth teeth and one seta, outmost teeth combined with seta on the base. Basis with four rows of spinules and three setae. Exopod one-segmented, with one lateral seta, two terminal setae. Endopod one-segmented, with two lateral setae, four terminal setae.

Maxillule (Figure. 4B). Praecoxa and coxa demarcated. Arthrite with nine apical spines, two juxtaposed setae on surface. Coxal endite with two setae. Basis with four naked setae. Endopod one-segmented, with four naked setae. Exopod one-segmented, with two setae.

Maxilla (Figure 4C). Syncoxa with spinules along outer margin; with three endites bearing three, two, two setae, respectively. Allobasis with one claw and one seta. Endopod one-segmented, with four setae, respectively.

Maxilliped (Figure 4D). Subchelate. Syncoxa with several spinules along inner and distal margins, two setae located at distal margin. Basis with row of spinules and one seta on inner margin. Endopod one-segmented; with two setae and one strong claw.




Figure 5. *Rhyncholagena paraspinifer* sp. n. Holotype **A** P1, anterior **B** P2, anterior. Scale bar: 50 μm.

P1 (Figure 5A). Coxa with row of spines on anterior surface, row of spinules along outer margin. Basis bearing one outer plumose seta and one strong inner spinulose spine, terminal margin with spinules, surface with setules. Exopod three-segmented, short, reaching to nearly 4/5 length of enp-1; outer margins of each segment ornamented with spinules; inner margins of exp-2 and exp-3 with setules; exp-2 with one plumose seta; exp-3 with two geniculate setae, two spinulose spines and one smooth spine. Endopod three-segmented, outer margins of each segment with spinules;enp-1 elongated, 1.8 times as long as enp-2 plus enp-3, inner margin with setules and one plumose seta; enp-2 short, with one inner seta; enp-3 longer than exp-2, approx. twice as long as enp-2.

P2–P3 (Figs. 5B, 6A). Intercoxal sclerites approximately triangular, with two distal (or apical) projections. Coxae with row of spines on outer margins. Basis with rows of




Figure 6. Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. Holotype A P3, anterior B P4, anterior. Scale bar: 50 μm.

spines on anterior margins. Exopods and endopods three-segmented, outer margins of each segment ornamented with spinules; endopod nearly as long as exopod.

P4 (Figure 6B) Intercoxal sclerites almost quadrate, with two distal blunt projections. Coxa of almost rectangular shape with two rows of spinules on anterior surface. Basis with row of spines on anterior margin. Exopods and endopods three-segmented, endopod shorter than exopod. Setal formulae of female P1–P4 as follows:

	Exp	Enp
P1	0-1-1, 2, 2	1–1–0, 2, 1
P2	1–1–2, 2, 3	1–2–1, 2, 1
P3	1–1–2, 2, 3	1–1–3, 2, 1
P4	1–1–3, 2, 3	1–1–2, 2, 1




Figure 7. Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. Allotype **A** habitus, dorsal **B** urosome, ventral; holotype **C** P5. Scale bars: $100 \ \mu m(A, B)$; $50 \ \mu m(C)$.




Figure 8. Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. Allotype **A** antennule **B** P1, anterior **C** P2 endopod, anterior **D** P5 with one outer seta cutting; paratype (male, MBM189080; small arrow meaning one seta missing). Scale bars: $50 \ \mu m$.

Right and left P5 (Figure 7C) not fused medially, baseoendopod and exopod separated. Baseoendopod reaching nearly to 1/5 length of exopod; with two plumose and three spinulose setae, second innermost one longer than others. Exopod nearly rectangular, 1.3 times as long as greatest width, ornamented with one spinulose and four naked setae, distalmost one longest.

Male based on allotype and one paratype differs from female as follows:

Body (Figs 1B, 7A) slightly shorter than female holotype, total length of allotype male (body plus caudal rami, excluding caudal setae): $610~\mu m$. Urosome (Figure 7B) six-segmented, genital somite and the first abdominal somite separate, urosomites with rows of small spinules except penultimate urosomite. Caudal ramus as long as broad, with six setae.

Antennule (Figure 8A) nine-segmented, haplocer. Armature formula: 1-[1], 2-[8], 3-[2], 4-[6+aes], 5-[1], 6-[3], 7-[1], 8-[2], 9-[4], geniculation between sixth and seventh segments.

Antenna, mandible, maxillule, maxilla, maxilliped, P3 and P4 similar to female.

P1 (Figure 8B). Coxa with four rows of spines on anterior surface and inner margin. Basis bearing one fingerlike spine and two spinous projections on inner margin; exp-1 without spines on outer margin. Other characters as in female.

P2 with protopod and exopod as in female holotype. Endopod (Figure 8C) two-segmented; enp-1 with one slender inner seta; enp-2 modified as common in genus, with two slender setae on inner margin; one seta and one spinous spine on distal margin; one stout spine on outer margin.

P5 (Figure 8D) baseoendopod unseparated, with two spinous spines, reaching beyond the end of the exopod; exopod with denticles and four unequal setae, two pinnate, two slender and naked.

P6 (Figure 7B) reduced each to three setae inserted on distal margin of somite.

Variability. Most morphological features are conservative, except body length. Body length of female varies from $450 \mu m$ to $710 \mu m$ and male from $460 \mu m$ to $610 \mu m$.

Etymology. The species is named according to many spines on the body.

Discussion

The new species can be easily placed in the genus *Rhyncholagena* by the following two characters: the incision between the apical setae of the P5 exopod and the very elongated rostrum (see Por 1967).

In Table 1, all currently valid species of *Rhyncholagena* are listed, together with some of their most prominent morphological characters. All morphological characters were collected from publications, except for the new species described here. Some original species descriptions were not thorough, and additional data are collected from subsequent publications attributed to the same species.

It is clear from Table 1, that the species of genus *Rhyncholagena* can be separated into two groups based on the number of inner seta in P1 enp-2. The group 1 without

Table I. List of valid species of Rhyncholagena Lang, 1944, with their most prominent morphological features according original descriptions and additional data about the same species.

	A2	Cots	I formulae of carri	Cety formulae of eximming love (exploun)	(40	Setal formulae of	nulae of	Female P5		
Species	exopod	200	at 101mmac of 3Wi	oldva) ega giminin	(dw	swimming legs (exp/enp)	gs (exp/enp)	exopod	Distal of female P5 baseoendopod	References
	segment	P1	P2	P3	P4	female P5	male P5	long/wide		
R. bermudensis Malt, 1990	2	0.1.122/1.1.021	0.1.122/1.1.021 1.1.223/1.2.130	1.1.223(2)/	1.1.323/1.1.230	9/9	Unknown	≈3	not exceeding half-length of exopod	Malt 1990
R. josaphatis Por, 1967	3	0.1.122/1.0.120	1.1.223/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.0.120 1.1.223/1.2.121 1.1.223/1.2.321 1.1.323/1.1.221	1.1.323/1.1.221	5 /9	5 (2)	≈1.9	exceeding half-length of exopod	Por 1967
R. lagenirostris (Sars, 1911)	3	0.1.122/1.1.120	1.1.123/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.123/1.2.121 1.1.123/1.1.321 1.1.223/1.1.221	1.1.223/1.1.221	5/9	5 (3)	≈2.4	slightly exceeding half-length of exopod	Sars 1911; Lang 1948; Jakobi 1959; Wells 2007
R. levantina Por, 1964	Unknown	Unknown 0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.123/1.1.121	1.1.123/1.1.121	1.1.123/1.1.321	1.1.323/1.1.221	5/5	5 (2)	≈2.2	exceeding half-length of exopod	Por 1964
R. littoralis Por, 1967	8	0.1.122/1.0.120	0.1.122/1.0.120 1.1.223/1.2.121	1.1.223/1.1.321	1.1.323/1.1.221	5/9	Unknown	≈1.6	not exceeding half-length of exopod	Por 1967
R. pestai pestai (Monard, 1935) ^a	3	0.1.122/1.1.120	1.1.223/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.223/1.2.121 1.1.223/1.2.321	1.1.323/1.1.221	9/9	6(3)	≈2.1	slightly exceeding half-length of exopod	Monard 1935; Lang 1948; Jakobi 1959; Wells 2007
R. pestai americana Rouch, 1962	3	0.1.122/1.1.120	1.1.223/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.223/1.2.121 1.1.223/1.1.321 1.1.323/1.1.221	1.1.323/1.1.221	9/9	Unknown	≈2.5	exceeding half-length of exopod	Rouch 1962
R. profundorum Por, 1967	3	0.1.122/1.1.120	1.1.223/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.223/1.2.121 1.1.223/1.2.321 1.1.323/1.1.221	1.1.323/1.1.221	5/5	Unknown	≈1.9	not exceeding half-length of exopod	Por 1967
R. spinifer (Farran, 1913)	3	0.1.122/1.0.120	0.1.122/1.0.120 1.1.123/1.2.121	1.1.123/1.1.321	1.1.223/1.1.221	9/9	5 (3)	≈2.9	slightly exceeding half-length of exopod	Farran 1913; Lang 1948; Jakobi 1959; Wells 2007
R. paraspinifer sp. n.	2	0.1.122/1.1.120	1.1.223/1.2.121	0.1.122/1.1.120 1.1.223/1.2.121 1.1.223/1.1.321 1.1.323/1.1.221	1.1.323/1.1.221	5/5	4(2)	≈1.2	not exceeding half-length of exopod	Present contribution

^aBodin (1964) mentioned that caudal ramus of the species were not twisted inside as type species, but bulbous-shaped; exopod of male P5 with five setae instead of six.

Species	Distribution	Depth	References
R. bermudensis Malt, 1990	Bermuda (mangrove)	9–11m	Malt 1990; Warwick et al. 1990
R. josaphatis Por, 1967	Red Sea; Suez Canal	5-300m	Por 1967, 1977; Por and Marcus 1972
R. lagenirostris (Sars, 1911)	Norway	36.58–54.87m	Sars 1911; Lang 1948
R. levantina Por, 1964	Nahariya (Israel); Banyuls Sur Mer (France)	3m	Por 1964; Guille and Soyer 1966
R. littoralis Por, 1967	Red Sea; Suez Canal; Brazil (coral reefs)	0.5–1m(gravels)	Por 1967; Por and Marcus 1972; Sarmento and Santos 2012
R. pestai pestai (Monard, 1935)	France (Roscoff; North Brittany); Algeria (Castiglione); North Carolina (USA); France (Marseilles)	10–30m	Monard 1935, 1937; Lang 1948;Coull 1971; Bodin 1964; Bodin and Le Guellec 1992
R. pestai americana Rouch, 1962	Argentina (Punta Canteras)	250m	Rouch 1962
R. profundorum Por, 1967	Red Sea	700m	Por 1967
R. spinifer (Farran, 1913)	Ireland (Killary harbour in Mayo); France (North Brittany)	43.9m	Farran 1913; Monard 1928; Bodin and Le Guellec 1992
R. paraspinifer sp. n.	South China Sea	30.1m	Present contribution

Table 2. The distributions of valid species of genus *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1944.

inner seta on P1 enp-2, includes R. spinifer, R. josaphatis, R. littoralis. The group 2 with one inner seta on P1 enp-2, comprises R. lagenirostris, R. pestai pestai, R. pestai americana, R. levantina, R. profundorum, R. bermudensis and R. paraspinifer sp. n. Within this genus, R. pestai pestai may be a doubtful species. Monard (1935) described the species with the caudal ramus bulbous-shaped and twisted inside; exopod of male P5 with six setae. Bodin (1964) mentioned the caudal ramus of the species as bulbous-shaped, but not twisted inside as in type species; and the exopod of male P5 with five setae. We can't know if the differences can be attributed to intraspecific variability or can be an error of observation. The new species differs from its congeners by the combined morphological features: body ornamented with hyaline frills except penultimate urosomite on distal margin; A2 exopod two-segmented; P1 enp-2 with one inner seta; P3 exp-3 with two inner setae; P3 enp-2 with one inner seta; female P5 exopod with five setae; male P5 baseoendopod with two setae, exopod with four setae. The shape of P5 is another particular character useful to differentiate the Rhyncholagena species. According to our observations, P5 of our specimens resembles those of R. littoralis Por, 1967 and R. profundorum Por, 1967. These three species share the following characters in the female P5: distal of P5 baseoendopod not exceeding half-length of exopod; P5 exopod less than twice as long as wide; projection of two apical setae in exopod nearly the same length.

However, *R. paraspinifer* sp. n. differs from *R. littoralis* by the following characteristics: rostrum almost triangular (needle-like in *R. littoralis*); A2 exopod two-segmented (three-segmented in *R. littoralis*); P1 enp-2 with one inner seta (without inner seta in *R. littoralis*); P5 exopod bearing five setae (six setae in *R. littoralis*). *Rhyncholagena paraspinifer* sp. n. can be distinguished from *R. profundorum* by the following features: A2 exopod two-segmented (three-segmented in *R. profundorum*); P3 enp-2 with one inner

seta (two inner setae in *R. profundorum*); P5 exopod 1.15 times as long as wide (1.85 times in *R. profundorum*); P5 exopod nearly rectangular (oval in *R. profundorum*).

Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. bears two inner setae in P3 exp-3, in contrast to R. lagenirostris, R. levantina, and R. spinifer which bear only one inner seta. However, R. paraspinifer sp. n. differs from R. josaphatis and R. pestai pestai by having two and four setae in male P5 baseoendopod and exopod, respectively (two and five setae in R. josaphatis; three and six setae in R. pestai pestai). The new species can be distinguished from R. bermudensis by the characters of the two apical projections of P5 exopod being as long as each other in female (the longer one nearly twice as long as shorter one in R. bermudensis). Rhyncholagena paraspinifer sp. n. and R. pestai americana shares similar setal formulae of P1-P4. However, the two species also have differences: R. paraspinifer sp. n. bears five setae on female P5 exopod (six setae in R. pestai americana); distal of P5 baseoendopod not exceeding half-length of exopod in female (exceeding half-length of exopod in R. pestai americana).

The distributions and depths of all valid species of the genus *Rhyncholagena* are listed in Table 2. From the Table 2, we can consider that the genus *Rhyncholagena* is mainly distributed in the Atlantic Ocean, the Red Sea, and the Mediterranean and ranges from intertidal to deep sea. No cosmopolitan species were found. The recent record from the South China Sea considerably extended the distribution range of the *Rhyncholagena* species to Indo-Pacific Ocean. The fact that most species of *Rhyncholagena* were reported in single localities only, does not indicate that they are endemic. The low number of known *Rhyncholagena* species from the Pacific Ocean maybe due to lack of sampling of this taxon. More samples have to be analyzed to gain more knowledge about the distribution of the taxon *Rhyncholagena*.

From the Table 2, we can found that species of the genus *Rhyncholagena* are mainly distributed in temperate zone, except *R. paraspinifer* in subtropical zone, *R. littoralis* in temperate and tropical zone. It is interesting to note that the species *R. littoralis* is eurythermal and inhabits in both sides of Atlantic. More studies would be necessary to elucidate the distribution of the species.

Lang (1948) established a key to species of the genus *Rhyncholagena*, which included three species, *R. lagenirostris*, *R. spinifer* and *R. pestai pestai*. Below we present an updated key, which is modified from the earlier keys by Lang (1948) and Wells (2007). Since some species lack descriptions of male, the key is made based on females.

Key to the species of the genus Rhyncholagena Lang, 1944 (female)

	P5 baseoendopod with four setae	1
2		
3		
5		
4	P3 enp-2 with one inner seta	_
	P2 enp-2 with one inner seta	_

5	P2-P3 exp-3 with one inner seta6
_	P2-P3 exp-3 with two inner setae
6	Urosomites without hyaline frills; second segment of A1 produced a well-marked and
	incurved spinous projection in middle inside R. lagenirostris (Sars G.O., 1911)
_	Urosomites with 8 strong and separated spines on posterior dorsal margins; second
	segment of A1 without spinous projection in middle inside
7	P2 enp-2 with two inner setae
_	P3 enp-2 with one inner seta
8	P5 exopod with two apical projections, longer one about two times as long as
	shorter one
_	Two apical projections of P5 exopod mostly as long as each other9
9	P1 exopod not exceeding to middle length of P1 enp-1; P5 exopod less than two
	times as long as greatest wide
_	P1 exopod exceeding to middle length of P1 enp-1; P5 exopod more than two
	times as long as greatest wide

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31772415, 41206148) and the IOCAS Funding (No. 2012IO060104). This study was also funded partly by the Scientific and Technological Innovation Project Financially Supported by Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Qingdao) (No. 2015ASKJ01). Thanks are also due to the fellows of our team for their assistance in collecting samples.

References

- Bodin P (1964) Recherches sur la systématique et la distribution des Copépodes Harpacticoïdes des substrats meubles des environs de Marseille. Recueil des Travaux de la Stations Marine d'Endoume 35(51): 107–183.
- Bodin P, Le Guellec C (1992) Meiobenthos of the bay of Saint-Brieuc (North Brittany, France).II: harpacticoid copepod diversity and species assemblages. Oceanologica Acta 15(6): 673–686.
- Chertoprud ES, Gheerardyn H, Gómez S (2011) Harpacticoida (Crustacea: Copepoda) of the South China Sea: faunistic and biogeographical analysis. Hydrobiologia 666(1): 45–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-010-0228-5
- Coull BC (1971) Meiobenthic Harpacticoida (Crustacea, Copepoda) from the North Carolina continental shelf. Cahiers de Biologie Marine 12: 195–237.
- Farran G P (1913) Plankton from Christmas Island, Indian Ocean II. On Copepoda of the genera Oithona and Paroithona. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1913: 181–193.

- Guille A, Soyer J (1966) Copépodes harpacticoides de Banyuls-sur-Mer. 4. Quelques formes des gravelles à *Amphioxus*. Vie et Milieu 17B: 345–387.
- Huys R, Gee JM, Moore CG, Hamond R (1996) Marine and Brackish Water Harpacticoid Copepods. Part 1: keys and notes for identification of the species. In: Barnes RSK, Crothers JH (Eds) Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series) 51, Field Studies Council, Shrewsbury, 1–352.
- Jakobi H (1959) Contribução para a ecologia dos Harpacticoidea (Copepoda, Crustacea). II. Adaptação à salinidade e pH. Revista Brasileira de Biologia 19: 271–286.
- Lang K (1948) Monographie der Harpacticiden 1–2. Nordiska Bokhandeln, Lund, 1682 pp.
- Malt S (1990) A new species of *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1948, a harpacticoid copepod from Bermuda. Crustaceana 59: 276–280. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854090X00462
- Monard A (1928)Le genre *Amphiascus* (copépodes harpacticoïdes). RevueSuisse de Zoologie 55: 353–388. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.117622
- Monard A (1935) Étude sur la faune des harpacticoïdes marins de Roscoff. Travaux de la Station Biologique de Roscoff 13: 5–87.
- Monard A (1937) Les Harpacticoïdes marins de la région d'Alger et de Castiglione. Bull Stat Aquic Pêche Castiglione 2: 9–93.
- Por FD (1964) A study of the Levantine and Pontic Harpacticoida (Crustacea, Copepoda). Zoologische Verhandelingen 64: 1–128.
- Por FD (1967) Level bottom Harpacticoida (Crustacea, Copepoda) from Elat (Red Sea), Part 1. Israel Journal of Zoology 16: 101–165.
- Por FD (1977) The benthic Copepoda of the Sinai mangrove. Rapp. P.-v. Réun. Commn int. Explor. scient. Mer Méditerr. 24(6): 87–89.
- Por FD, Marcus A (1972) Copepoda Harpacticoida of the Suez Canal. Israel Journal of Zoology 21: 249–274.
- Rouch R (1962) Harpacticoïdes (Crustacés Copépodes) d'Amerique du Sud. In: Delamare Deboutteville C, Rapoport E (Eds) Biologie de l'Amerique Australe, CNRS, Paris, 1, 237–280.
- Sars GO (1911) Copepoda Harpacticoida. Parts XXXI–XXXVI. Diosaccidae (continued). An Account of the Crustacea of Norway, with short descriptions and figures of all the species 5: 369–449. [pls XI–LIV]
- Sarmento VC, Santos PJ (2012) Species of Harpacticoida (Crustacea, Copepoda) from the phytal of Porto de Galinhas coral reefs, northeastern Brazil. Check List 8(5): 936–939 https://doi.org/10.15560/8.5.936
- Walter T C, Boxshall G, Huys R (2015) *Rhyncholagena* Lang, 1944. In: Walter TC, Boxshall G (2017) World of Copepods database. http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=115322 [accessed on 6 February 2015]
- Warwick RM, Platt HM, Clarke KR, Agard J, Gobin J (1990) Analysis of macrobenthic and meiobenthic community structure in relation to pollution and disturbance in Hamilton Harbour, Bermuda. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 138: 119–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(90)90180-K
- Wells JBJ (2007) An annotated checklist and keys to the species of Copepoda Harpacticoida (Crustacea). Zootaxa 1568: 1–872.